

MSEs' Business Environment in Nakuru County

Introduction

The County Business Environment for MSEs (CBEM) framework has been developed to monitor key issues that require policy interventions in creating an enabling environment for the Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs) sector in counties. The framework covers four key areas affecting the operations of MSEs: Worksites and adequacy of their infrastructure; Market environment; Financial and technical capacity; and Governance and regulatory framework. This brief indicates the business environment for MSEs in Nakuru County.

Nakuru County Demographics and Outputⁱ

1

Total Population, 2,162,202; Female, 50.2%
Contribution to total GDP: Average 6.1% for the period 2013-2017
Contribution to Gross Value Added: 6.9% in 2017

2

Sectors driving growth in economic activity: Manufacturing (3%); Agriculture (58%); and Services (30%)

Importance of MSEs and Challengesⁱⁱ

IMPORTANCE

1999

Employed 50.0% of working population
Contributed 18.9% of GDP

2016

Employed 81% of working population
Contributed 24.7% of National output
and 23.6% Gross Value Add

CHALLENGES


Due to poor business environment MSEs in Kenya are faced with the challenges of:

- Low survival rate of MSEs
- Limited skills
- Capital and workspaces
- Informality
- Low productivity

MSEs' Business Environment

All the scores for MSEs' business environment are drawn from the CBEM framework (KIPPRA, 2019). The MSEs' business environment is measured using the following: Worksite and related infrastructure; Market environment; Financial and technical capacity; and Governance and regulatory framework. The scores range between lowest (0) and highest (100). Nakuru County scored a total of 35.1.

Figure 1: Nakuru County scores



Worksites and Infrastructure for MSEs

Scores

- Access to worksites: 81.3
- Electricity connection 76.7
- Water connection: 48.7
- Access to common manufacturing facilities: 7.0
- Availability of public toilets: 29.7
- Waste management services: 38.3

Constraints

- Insufficient worksites
- Lack of adequate tools, machinery and equipment
- High electricity and water connection costs
- Frequent power outages
- Pending electricity bills
- Inadequate common manufacturing facilities
- Insufficient public toilet facilities
- Inadequate designated areas for waste disposal

Market Environment for MSEs

Scores

- Road infrastructure: 49.4
- Access to physical markets: 39.2
- Access to Government Procurement Opportunities(AGPO): 6.2
- Fair competition: 12.4

Constraints

- Unfair trade practises manifest through; contract enforcement, counterfeiting, dumping (substandard goods) and misrepresentation (through weight, price, ingredient).
- Low uptake of AGPO due to information asymmetry and informality
- Limited access to markets

Financial and Technical Capacity for MSEs

Scores

- Training (capacity building) for MSEs: 23.1
- Knowledge and skills mapping: 25.3
- MSEs survival rate: 1.3
- Access to digital finance: 2.0
- Innovations: (-)
- Patenting: (-)

Constraints

- Fragmentation due to multiplicity of players who offer training and capacity building
- Low uptake of digital finance
- Lack of a training and apprenticeship programme for artisans
- Lack of training needs assessment for MSEs
- Lack of monitoring and evaluation of training programmes
- High cost of doing business
- Low levels of innovation

Governance and Regulatory Framework for MSEs

Scores

- Licensing and issuance of permits: 83.1
- Self-regulation: 84.2
- Crime and public security: 51.5
- Corruption and governance issues: 29.9

Constraints

- Multiple licences and permits
- Corruption
- Misallocation and exploitative tendencies within the worksites

Way Forward in Creating an Enabling Environment for MSEs

a) Worksite and Adequacy of Infrastructure

- Collaborate with Micro & Small enterprises Authority (MSEA) and other relevant agencies to facilitate access of common manufacturing facilities.
- Enhance provision of sanitation and waste disposal facilities in/around worksites.

b) Market Environment for MSEs

- Collaborate with the Anti-Counterfeit Agency and Kenya Revenue Authority to curb counterfeits and dumping of goods to ensure fair trade.
- Collaborate with the AGPO secretariat to promote and enhance sensitization of MSEs on this affirmative action to increase uptake.

c) Financial and Technical Capacity for MSEs

- Collaborate with relevant training institutions such as Kenya Institute of Business Training and National Industrial Training Authority in knowledge and skills mapping to provide relevant entrepreneurial training and technical training, apprenticeship and certification programmes to MSEs.
- Collaborate with financial sector players such as banks, SACCOs and micro finance institutions in targeting MSEs through financial innovations with an opportunity to penetrate and deepen financial services.

d) Governance and regulation framework

- Work with MSEA and Ethics and Anti-Corruption Commission to eliminate misallocation and exploitative tendencies within the worksites.

End notes

ⁱ Gross County Product 2019 and Kenya Population and Housing Census, 2019

ⁱⁱ KNBS, Various

About KIPPRA Policy Briefs

KIPPRA Policy Briefs are aimed at a wide dissemination of the Institute's policy research findings. The findings are expected to stimulate discussion and also build capacity in the public policy making process in Kenya.

KIPPRA acknowledges generous support from the Government of Kenya, and development partners who have continued to support the Institute's activities over the years.

For More Information Contact:

Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis
Bishops Road, Bishops Garden Towers
P.O. Box 56445-00200, Nairobi
Tel: 2719933/4
Cell: 0736712724, 0724256078
Email: admin@kippra.or.ke
Website: <http://www.kippra.org>
Twitter: @kipprakenya